

## REMARKS

The claims have been amended to correct the objections set forth in the office action.


Claim 11 was rejected over Chazono. Claim 11 calls for a memory. Chazono is not a memory. Claim 11 calls for a breakdown layer. Chazono has no breakdown layer. Claim 11 also calls for the breakdown layer being ion implanted. Chazono does not teach an ion implanted breakdown layer. The claim further calls for increasing the likelihood that breakdown will occur in one region rather than another region of said layer by the ion implantation. Since Chazono does not teach forming an implanted region to make it more likely that one layer would breakdown than another, there are missing elements that preclude a *prima facie* rejection.

In order to make out a *prima facie* rejection, all of the elements of the claim must be taught in one or more references or that reference or references must teach a rationale to modify the reference to reach the claim limitation. Here, a single reference is cited which, admittedly, does not teach the claimed elements. Given this situation, in the absence of any other prior art relied upon to teach the missing elements, a *prima facie* rejection is not made out.

Therefore, reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: November 17, 2005



---

Timothy M. Trop, Reg. No. 28,994  
TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.  
8554 Katy Freeway, Ste. 100  
Houston, TX 77024  
713/468-8880 [Phone]  
713/468-8883 [Fax]

Attorneys for Intel Corporation